BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
3 1761 10084455 4

PUBLIC LAW

1980-81

chapter 1

Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

LAW LIBRARY

SEP 15 1980

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PUBLIC LAW

1980 - 81

Chapter 1

Faculty of Law

University of Toronto

Store
KF
2560
I
P82
1980

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

Chapter One: The Mississauga Derailment 1

Section One: The Facts 1

How quiet Saturday night turned into disaster 1
Chlorine gas: Yellow fog of creeping death 6
Circle of fear steadily widens in disaster log 9
Metro's fate hangs on weather balloon 12
They're trying to cap chlorine time bomb 13
It's Over: Home to Mississauga 14
Probe of chemical transport safeguards is urged 18
We must learn to live with poisons 20
Toronto Star, Nov. 13, 1979 Heroes aplenty 20
The Financial Post, Nov. 17, 1979, Stiffening the railway rules won't be easy 21
Toronto Star, Feb. 13, 1980, Train's danger missed by crew, witness hints 22
Toronto Star, Feb. 28, 1989, Complex safety rules broken almost daily rail crash probe told 23
The Globe and Mail, Mar. 4, 1980, Axle overheated on earlier CP train 24
Toronto Star, Apr. 2, 1980, Train crash decisions bungled by command post, report says 25
The Globe and Mail, Nov. 12, 1980, 300 derailments a year in Canada 26

2. McMurtry's Stance 27

3. The Grange Commission 35

A chilling warning to us all 35
Mississauga Railway Accident Inquiry 44

Section Two: The Authority to Order and Maintain the Evacuation 46

1. The Problem 46

The Globe and Mail, Nov. 13, 1979, Police put safety before the law 46
The Globe and Mail, Nov. 16, 1979, Chlorine still a threat residents told 47
The Toronto Star, Nov. 15, 1979, 'I don't want to fill death sheets': Roadblock cop 49

	PAGE
Police Powers	51
Authority	52
Dicey, Law of the Constitution	52
2. Legislation	54
(i) The Current Legislation	54
a) The Police Act	55
b) The Highway Traffic Act	55
c) The Highway Traffic Amendment Act	56
d) The Child Welfare Act	56
(ii) Other Possible Legislation	59
a) The Emergency Measures Act	59
b) Transportation of Dangerous Goods Act	61
c) The Toronto By-Law Proposal	62
The Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto Act	63
3. The Common Law	74
Knowlton v. The Queen	74
Moore v. The Queen	77
S.A. de Smith, Constitutional and Administrative Law	81
M.L. Friedland, National Security	83
Entick v. Carrington	87
Morgentaler v. The Queen	95
Section Three: Legislative Framework	98
1. An Historical Perspective: Railway Acts	98
2. An Historical Perspective: Regulations	104
Regulations for the Carriage of Explosives	104
General Order No. 203	107
3. Legislation at the Time of the Derailment	109

PAGE

4. The Canadian Transport Commission 110

Railway Transport Committee 115

5. Regulations Governing the Transportation of Dangerous Commodities by Rail 127

Part 71--General Information and Regulations 128
Part 72--List of Dangerous Commodities Containing the Shipping Name or Description of all Commodities Subject to these Regulations 130
Part 73--Regulations Applying to Shippers 131
Part 74--Regulations Applying Particularly to Carriers by Rail Freight 140

6. A Criticism of Railway Safety in Canada 143

7. The New Act 149

CTC Annual Report, 1970:
Railway Safety Investigation 149
CTC Annual Report, 1971:
Railway Safety Investigation 150
CTC Annual Report 1972 155
CTC Annual Report 1973 156
CTC Annual Report 1974 157
CTC Annual Report, 1976 158
CTC Annual Report, 1978 158
CTC Annual Report, 1979 159

8. A Central Problem: Inspection 163

Globe & Mail, Aug. 22, 1980, Safety record on rails is poor 178

Section Four: Compensation 179

1. Introduction 179

The Globe and Mail, Nov. 14, 1979, Good chance of recouping losses, lawyer says 180
Globe & Mail, Nov.21, 1979, Red-letter warning on release form is rejected by CP 182
Toronto Star, Nov. 21, 1979, Legal claims could hit $50 million 183
Toronto Star, May 27, 1980, CP paid out $10 million in claims to evacuees 184

	PAGE
2. Should there be Compensation?	185
R.C.B. Risk, "The Last Golden Age: Property and the Allocation of Losses in Ontario in the Nineteenth Century"	187
William L. Prosser, Law of Torts	190
3. Basis for Liability	194
Chavez v. Southern Pacific Transport Co.	195
4. Compensation: For What?	205
Weller & Co. v. Foot and Mouth Disease Research Institute	206
Spartan Steel and Alloys Ltd. v. Martin & Co.	217
5. Compensation: From Whom?	222
Globe and Mail, Aug. 2, 1980, CP Rail suit places in question unbiased proceedings of CTC	223
6. A Legislative Response: Wither the Common Law?	232
A View from Afar	243